```
DANIEL G. BOGDEN
 1
   United States Attorney
   GREG ADDINGTON
3
   Assistant United States Attorney
   Nevada Bar #6875
   100 West Liberty Street, Suite 600
4
   Reno, NV 89501
5
   (775) 784-5438
   (775) 784-5181-facsimile
6
   ELSIE B. KAPPLER
   Massachusetts Bar #562265
   United States Department of Justice
   Environment and Natural Resources
   Division
   Natural Resources Section
   P.O. Box 663
   Washington, DC 20044
   (202) 305-0430
   (202) 305-0267-facsimile
11
12
13
                        UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
14
                            DISTRICT OF NEVADA
15
16
   FALLON PAIUTE-SHOSHONE TRIBE,
   a federally recognized
17
   Indian tribe,
18
              Plaintiff,
                                       CV-N-04-466-LRH (RAM)
19
       V.
                                       UNOPPOSED MOTION TO EXTEND
20
   UNITED STATES BUREAU OF
                                        TIME FOR DEFENDANT'S FILING
   LAND MANAGEMENT
                                       OF OPTIONAL REPLY TO PLAINTIFF'S
21
                                       OPPOSITION TO GOVERNMENT'S
                                       MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
22
                                       BY 35 DAYS
             Defendant.
23
24
        Comes now defendant United States Bureau of Land Management, a
25
   component of the U.S. Department of the Interior, and moves this
26
   Court for a thirty-five (35) day extension of the dates previously
27
   set for the filing and briefing of Defendant's Optional Reply to
28
   Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Cross-Motion for Summary
```

1 2

3

4 5

7

8

6

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28 Judgment. Counsel for the plaintiff Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Tribe has been contacted and explicitly agrees to this extension.

Grounds for this Unopposed Motion are stated below:

- This is an action for judicial review of certain actions taken by the United States Bureau of Land Management (BLM) under the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act and the Administrative Procedures Act.
- 2. On February 9, 2005, this Court approved the parties' proposed scheduling order (#15), which set forth various deadlines for submission of this matter to the Court for adjudication on cross-motions for summary judgment.
- On August 2, 2005, this Court issued an order, granting Defendant's unopposed motion to extend the time granted by the prior order (#21).
- On September 2, 2005, Plaintiff moved for leave to file a 125 page brief in support of its Motion for Summary Judgment (#22). This Court allowed that Motion on September 12, 2005 (#30). light of the Plaintiff's Motion to File a Brief in Excess of the Page Limit, the parties stipulated to a new briefing schedule.
- As a result of the Court's September 12, 2005 Order, and pursuant to the parties' Stipulation adopted by this Court on the same date (#29), the Defendant's Opposition and Cross-Motion was due on November 1, 2005; Plaintiff's Reply was due on December 21, 2005; and Defendant's Optional Reply was due on January 25, 2005.
- On October 24, 2005, the United States filed an Unopposed Motion to Extend the Briefing Deadlines. That Motion was granted by this Court (#53), and the new deadlines were, as a result, as follows: filing of Defendant's Opposition and Cross-Motion for

Summary Judgment - November 17, 2005; filing of Plaintiff's Reply - January 5, 2006; and filing of Defendant's Optional Reply - February 9, 2005.

- 7. The Plaintiff subsequently sought without opposition from the government an extension of the deadline for filing its Reply to the government's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment until January 31, 2006. That motion was granted by this Court (#53). As a result of that order, Defendant's deadline for filing its Optional Reply was March 7, 2006.
 - 8. Plaintiff filed its Reply on January 31, 2006.
- 9. Since that date, the government has been engaged in discovery in a matter that has required substantial travel. In addition, the government has been preparing for trial in another matter that has been set for March 20-31, 2006. These demands have left less time than originally contemplated for preparing the government's Optional Reply, currently due on March 7, 2006.
- 10. Plaintiff's counsel does not oppose and has explicitly assented to the requested extension of time.
- 11. The requested extension of time will extend the previous deadlines by 35 days and will yield a new deadline for the filing of the Defendant's Optional Reply on or by April 11, 2006.
- 12. The filing of the Defendant's Optional Reply will mark the close of all briefing in the above-captioned matter, and the only remaining milestone will be the scheduling of oral argument on the parties' cross-motions.

Case 3:04-cv-00466-LRH-RAM Document 57 Filed 03/08/06 Page 4 of 4

Respectfully submitted, 1 DANIEL G. BOGDEN 2 United States Attorney 3 4 /s/ Greg Addington GREG ADDINGTON 5 Assistant U.S. Attorney 6 /s/ Elsie B. Kappler 7 ELSIE B. KAPPLER United States Department of 8 Justice Environment and Natural 9 Resources Division Natural Resources Section 10 P.O. Box 663 Washington, DC 20044 11 (202) 305-0430 (202) 305-0267-facsimile 12 13 IT IS SO ORDERED Date: March 6, 2006. 14 15 LARRY R. HICKS 16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28